

The Imagination Machine XIV: Relational Invariants, Quotient Structure, and the Reproducibility of Science

Mark Tracy

March 2026

Abstract

Scientific knowledge stabilizes through the reproducibility of experimental results across independent observers and experimental contexts. This paper interprets reproducibility through the compression–extension architecture developed in the Imagination Machine series. Observational data are first produced in highly indexical form, tied to particular observers, instruments, and experimental circumstances. Scientific modeling compresses these observations through a classifier that quotients away observational detail while preserving selected relational invariants. A scientific law is then interpreted as a relational structure that remains invariant under this quotient map. Reproducibility corresponds to the stability of these invariants across independent experiments. From this perspective the methodology of science may be understood as the collective construction of quotient representations of the observational world, within which invariant relations appear as physical law.

1 Introduction

The Imagination Machine series develops a formal framework for embedded epistemic systems. In this framework an agent constructs a world model by iteratively compressing observational data into a representation that preserves relational structure while discarding irrelevant detail. The admissible models of the system appear as fixed points of the inference–implication loop introduced in the first paper of the series.

A central question in the philosophy of science concerns the reproducibility of experimental results. Independent laboratories performing the same experiment under different conditions frequently obtain observational data that differ in numerous superficial ways. Nevertheless, scientific laws appear as stable regularities that persist across these differences.

The present paper interprets reproducibility as a consequence of the quotient structure induced by representational compression. Scientific laws correspond to relational invariants that remain stable under the quotient map from observational data to scientific representation.

2 Observational Surfaces

Every experiment produces data in a highly indexical form. Observations are tied to particular observers, instruments, experimental procedures, and environmental circumstances.

Definition 1 (Observation Event). *An observation event is a tuple*

$$x = (o, a, t, \ell, p, m)$$

where o denotes the observer, a the apparatus configuration, t the time of observation, ℓ the spatial location, p the experimental protocol, and m the measured outcome.

Let D denote the space of such observation events. Two observation events may differ in many of these parameters while nevertheless expressing the same underlying regularity.

3 Representational Compression

A scientific model compresses the observational surface by mapping observation events into a representation that preserves selected relational structure.

Definition 2 (Scientific Classifier). *Let*

$$\pi : D \rightarrow Z$$

be a classifier mapping observation events into representational states Z . The map π induces an equivalence relation on D defined by

$$x \sim_{\pi} y \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \pi(x) = \pi(y).$$

The quotient space

$$Q = D / \sim_{\pi}$$

groups together observation events that are treated as equivalent by the scientific model.

Remark 1. *The classifier π may include transformations such as coordinate normalization, calibration correction, statistical averaging, or parameter estimation. These operations discard observational detail while preserving relational structure relevant to the theory.*

4 Relational Invariants

Scientific laws correspond to relations that remain invariant across equivalence classes in the quotient representation.

Definition 3 (Relational Invariant). *A relation R defined on the representational space Z is a relational invariant if it holds for all representatives of an equivalence class in Q .*

Examples include the constancy of gravitational acceleration in Newtonian mechanics, the Lorentz invariance of spacetime intervals in relativity, and the ideal gas relation in thermodynamics.

Remark 2. *The invariance of these relations reflects the fact that the observational differences removed by the quotient map do not alter the relational structure preserved by the model.*

5 Reproducibility

The reproducibility of scientific results can now be interpreted as stability under the quotient map.

Definition 4 (Reproducible Result). *An experimental result is reproducible if observation events from independent experiments fall into the same equivalence class of Q under the classifier π .*

In practice this means that while raw measurements may vary across laboratories, the representational compression applied by the scientific model maps them to the same relational structure.

Remark 3. *Experimental methodology exists largely to ensure that independent investigators apply compatible compression maps. Standardized protocols, calibration procedures, and statistical analysis all serve to align the quotient representations used by different laboratories.*

6 Scientific Method as Quotient Construction

The methodology of science may therefore be interpreted as a collective process for constructing quotient representations of observational reality.

Different laboratories act as independent epistemic agents observing the same environment through distinct observational surfaces. A scientific theory stabilizes when the compression map used by these agents yields consistent relational invariants across their respective data.

Proposition 1. *Scientific consensus emerges when independently observed data sets share a common quotient representation under a shared classifier.*

7 Symmetry and Physical Law

Modern physics frequently formulates laws in terms of symmetry principles. These symmetries express invariance under transformations such as spatial translation, temporal translation, or coordinate change.

Within the present framework these symmetries appear naturally as transformations that leave the quotient representation unchanged. A symmetry therefore corresponds to an operation on observation events that preserves equivalence classes in the quotient space.

Remark 4. *This perspective explains the centrality of symmetry in modern physics: symmetry transformations are precisely those operations that preserve the relational invariants retained by the representational compression.*

8 Conclusion

The Imagination Machine framework interprets knowledge formation as the compression of observational data into representations that preserve relational structure. Scientific laws appear as invariants within the quotient representations produced by this compression.

From this perspective the reproducibility of science is not mysterious. Independent experiments produce different observational details, but once those details are quotiented away by the scientific classifier, the same relational invariants emerge. Reproducibility therefore reflects the stability of these invariants across observational contexts.

Scientific practice can thus be understood as a distributed epistemic process in which many observers collaboratively construct quotient representations of the observational world. Physical law corresponds to the relational structure that remains invariant within those representations.